



**Where We Are, and Current Challenges:**

**Large Scale Projects**

**Regulation**

**UNFCCC**

*Tim Dixon*

***IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme***

*IEF-GCCSI Symposium on CCS, Beijing, 27 Sep 2009*



# IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme



## IEA GHG Members





# Capturing the Learning from Large-scale Operational Projects (2008)

### Criteria:

- Indicative criteria defined for 'large-scale operational projects'
- Was, or had been, operational by the end of 2008, and either:-
  - Captures over 10,000 tCO<sub>2</sub> per year from a flue gas
  - Injects over 10,000 tCO<sub>2</sub> per year with the purpose of geological storage with monitoring
  - Captures over 100,000 tCO<sub>2</sub> per year from any source
  - Coal-bed storage of over 10,000 tCO<sub>2</sub> per year
- *Commercial CO<sub>2</sub> EOR is excluded unless there is a monitoring programme to provide learning.*
- *Does not need to be fully integrated*



## Projects identified

Bellingham Cogeneration Facility		IFFCO CO2 Recovery Plant – Aonla		
CASTOR Project		Prosint Methanol Plant		
Great Plains Synfuel Plant		Rangely CO2 Project		Capture over 100ktCO <sub>2</sub>
IMC Global Soda Plant		Schwarze Pumpe		
In Salah		SECARB - Cranfield II		Injection over 10ktCO <sub>2</sub> for storage
K12-B		Shady Point Power Plant		
Ketzin Project		Sleipner		
MRCSP - Michigan Basin		Snohvit LNG Project		
Nagaoka		SRCSP - Aneth EOR-Paradox Basin		Monitored EOR over 10ktCO <sub>2</sub>
Otway Basin Project		SRCSP - San Juan Basin		
Pembina Cardium Project		Sumitomo Chemicals Plant		Capture over 10ktCO <sub>2</sub> from flue gas
Petronas Fertilizer Plant		Warrior Run Power Plant		
IFFCO CO2 Recovery Plant - Phulpur		Weyburn		
Chemical Co. "A" CO2 Recovery Plant		Zama EOR Project		Coal bed storage over 10ktCO <sub>2</sub>





## Extent of coverage vs ZEP project matrix

Archetype 1	• Lignite/co-firing with Biomass	• Pre-combustion, variant A	• Cross-border pipeline	• Offshore depleted oil & gas field
Archetype 2	• Gas	• Post-combustion, variant A	• Pipeline	• Onshore structural deep saline aquifer
Archetype 3	• Hard Coal	• Oxy-fuel, variant A	• Ship	• Offshore open deep saline aquifer
Archetype 4	• Hard Coal	• Post-combustion, variant A	• Pipeline	• Onshore depleted oil & gas field
Archetype 5	• Lignite	• Oxy-fuel, variant B	• Pipeline	• Onshore structural deep saline aquifer
Archetype 6	• Hard Coal	• Pre-combustion, variant B	• Pipeline	• Offshore depleted oil & gas field
Archetype 7	• Hard Coal	• Post-combustion, variant B	• Pipeline	• Onshore open deep saline aquifer

Demonstrated in operational large projects

Not demonstrated in operational large projects

Project matrix courtesy of EU Technology Platform for Zero Emission Fossil Fuel Power Plants - ZEP (2008)



## Conclusions from Large-Scale Operational Projects

- Elements of CCS are operating at large scale
- Integrated CCS is operating at large scale, just not from power plant

### Challenges:

- Integrated CCS with power plant
- There is a lot that has been learnt from existing projects, but more can be done to share the learning
- CCS industry can build on existing projects' experience
- Increasing IPR issues will affect sharing learning



## IEA GHG

- Research Networks
  - Storage (Monitoring, Risk Assessment, Well Integrity, Social Science)
  - Capture (Post combustion, Oxyfuel)
- GHGT 10 Conference
- Workshops
- Studies
- Large Scale Operational Projects – GCCSI



# Legal and Regulatory Developments

### *International:*

- 2006 IPCC Guidelines for GHG Inventories – methodology for CCS (site characterisation + modelling, + monitoring = zero leakage)
- Marine Conventions - London Protocol (2006), OSPAR (2007)

### *Regional/national regulation:*

- EU Storage Directive, ETS Directive (2008)
- Australia – Offshore and Onshore GHG Storage Acts (2008-9)
- US EPA Draft Rule (2008)
- Japan, Canada





## Regulatory lessons learnt

Regulatory principles for CCS to ensure environmental integrity:

- Site-by-site assessment
- Risk assessment
- Site characterisation and simulation, supported by monitoring
- CO<sub>2</sub> stream impurities determined by impacts on integrity

Development of regulation:

- Use the technical and scientific evidence base
- Learn from existing regulatory developments
- Benefit of having real projects to drive and test regulations



# Legal and Regulatory Developments

## Challenges:

- Regulation development within countries
- Regulation detail, and implementation
- Long-term liability – principle, and detail for transfer criteria

IEA GHG providing technical information to inform regulatory development



# UNFCCC - CDM (Kyoto 1<sup>st</sup> Period)

- Considering CCS since COP/MOP1 Montreal (2005)
  - 2 synthesis reports on Submissions
  - Decision due at COP/MOP4 Poznan (Dec08) – nearly but blocked
- CMP4 – Poznan (Dec08) tasked CDM EB to consider implications of CCS in CDM, to report to CMP5 (Copenhagen) - EB commissioned report – IEA GHG studies are key inputs
- SBSTA – CDM and CCS - ongoing, further submissions 28 Sep, continue at SBSTA31 (Copenhagen), waiting for EB report (due end Aug).



## UNFCCC Post 2012

- AWG LCA (UNFCCC Parties)
- AWG KP (KP Parties) – Considering future CDM :- Chairs text options CCS excluded or included [2 proj per region]. No progress in August meeting (next 28 Sep Bangkok, then 2 Nov Barcelona). COP15 – 7 Dec.
- Both discussing new mechanisms: - Sectoral mechanisms and NAMAs for developing countries.



## UNFCCC

### Challenges:

- Resolving CCS in CDM, without prejudicing other post 2012 mechanisms
- Recognition and inclusion of CCS in other post 2012 mechanisms and initiatives – for developing countries

IEA GHG providing information into UNFCCC to encourage evidence-based decisions, eg CDM Reports





## IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme

- General - [www.ieagreen.org.uk](http://www.ieagreen.org.uk)
- CCS - [www.co2captureandstorage.info](http://www.co2captureandstorage.info)

